Appendix III Columbus State University Comprehensive Program Review Evaluation for the (Insert Name of Program: BA Political Science)

Section Two - Indicators of Program Quality Rating Scale							
II.	Summary Findings of Prog	Rating Category gram's Overall Quality			Very Strong Above Average		
	Self-Study	Below Average			Satisfactory Below Average		
	Review Team	Below Average	Review Team Observations:	need more qualified faculty	Very Weak Accreditation Report		
	CPR Committee	Below Average	CPR Committee Observations:		Unable to Rate		
II A.	The Quality of Faculty						
	Self-Study	Below Average					
	Review Team	Below Average	Review Team Observations:	need to have more specialties			
	CPR Committee	Below Average	CPR Committee Observations:				
II B.	The Quality of the Teachin	ng					
	Self-Study	Satisfactory					
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	most faculty receive 4.0 out 5 on evals			
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:				
II C.	The Quality of Research a	nd Scholarship					
	Self-Study	Below Average					
	Review Team	Below Average	Review Team Observations:	need to have more peer reviewe publication			
	CPR Committee	Below Average	CPR Committee Observations:	Increase faculty engagement in scholarship and research. Provide resources for faculty to attend conferences.			
II D.	The Quality of Service						
	Self-Study	Above Average					
	Review Team	Above Average	Review Team Observations:	faculty excel here			
	CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:				
II E.	The Quality of Faculty and	Student Achievements					
	Self-Study	Satisfactory					
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	meet basic standards			
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	Provide reward system to recognize faculty achievem	ents.		
II F.	The Quality of Curriculum						
	Self-Study	Satisfactory					
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	meet basic standards			
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	meet basic standards			
II G.	The Quality of Facilities &	Equipment					
	Self-Study	Satisfactory					
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	perhaps could have extended library hours			
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:				
Section Three - Indicators of Program Productivity							
Rating Category Observations III. Summary Findings of Program's Overall Productivity							
	Self-Study	Below Average					
	Review Team	Below Average	Review Team Observations:	need to use full time faculty more strategically			
	CPR Committee	Below Average	CPR Committee Observations:				

	Self-Study	Satisfactory]			
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	meets basic guidelines		
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	Increase enrollment by working with Enrollment Management.		
III B.	Degrees Awarded Over th	e Past 5 Years				
	Self-Study	Satisfactory]			
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	meets basic guidelines but there has been a recent drop		
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	Need to focus on degree completion		
III C.	Comparison With CSU & USG Programs					
	Self-Study	Satisfactory]			
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	about average		
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:			
III D.	Progam Retention Rate					
	Self-Study	Satisfactory]			
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	meet basic standards		
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	Work with ACE to utilize EAB to identify "at-risk" students and rpovide necessary resources for students to be retained.		
III E.	Student Learning Indicato	rs	_			
	Self-Study	Satisfactory]			
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	Meets basic standards		
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:			
III F.	Graduation Rate of Program					
	Self-Study	Satisfactory]			
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	Meets basic standards		
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	Work with ACE to utilize EAB to identify "at-risk" students and rpovide necessary resources for students to be retained.		
III G.	Cost-Effectiveness of Instructional Delivery					
	Self-Study	Satisfactory]			
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	ok, but there could be improvement		
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:			
Section	n Four - Program Viability	Ĺ				
IV A.	Summary Findings of Prog	Rating Category gram's Overall Viability		Observations		
	Self-Study	Below Average]			
	Review Team	Below Average	Review Team Observations:	need to be more strategic with faculty, avoid burnout		
	CPR Committee	Below Average	CPR Committee Observations:	Need to improve structure of faculty teaching in core and upper division classes.		
IV B.	Summary Findings of Program's Improvement Plans					
	Self-Study	Above Average]			
	Review Team	Above Average	Review Team Observations:	good plans in place to recruit qualfied faculty		

CPR	Committee

Above Average

CPR Committee Observations:

Develop and implement strategies to Increase enrollment, retention and graduation rates. Use campus resources to identify and provide assistance to students who are "at-risk." Develop strategy for improving quality of faculty/student interactions as identified in NSSE and Senior Survey data.

Very Strong
Above Average
Satisfactory
Below Average
Very Weak
Accreditation Report
Unable to Rate