

Appendix III
Columbus State University Comprehensive Program Review Evaluation for the
Bachelor of Science - Information Technology

Section Two - Indicators of Program Quality

	Rating Category		Observations
II. Summary Findings of Program's Overall Quality			
Self-Study	Very Strong		
Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	We believe there exists an opportunity to better involve students with the high school mentoring and outreach initiatives. This could involve lab assistants/mentors and provide service-learning opportunities.
CPR Committee	Very Strong	CPR Committee Observations:	
<hr/>			
II A. The Quality of Faculty			
Self-Study	Very Strong		
Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
CPR Committee	Very Strong	CPR Committee Observations:	
<hr/>			
II B. The Quality of the Teaching			
Self-Study	Above Average		
Review Team	Above Average	Review Team Observations:	
CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:	
<hr/>			
II C. The Quality of Research and Scholarship			
Self-Study	Very Strong		
Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
CPR Committee	Very Strong	CPR Committee Observations:	
<hr/>			
II D. The Quality of Service			
Self-Study	Very Strong		
Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
CPR Committee	Very Strong	CPR Committee Observations:	
<hr/>			
II E. The Quality of Faculty and Student Achievements			
Self-Study	Very Strong		
Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
CPR Committee	Very Strong	CPR Committee Observations:	
<hr/>			
II F. The Quality of Curriculum			
Self-Study	Above Average		
Review Team	Above Average	Review Team Observations:	
CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:	
<hr/>			
II G. The Quality of Facilities & Equipment			
Self-Study	Satisfactory		
Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	
CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	
<hr/>			

Section Three - Indicators of Program Productivity

	Rating Category		Observations
III.	Summary Findings of Program's Overall Productivity		
Self-Study	Satisfactory		
Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	We believe the program should examine the causes of the number of degrees awarded and graduation rate and address the underlying causes of these issues
CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	_____
III A.	Enrollment in Program for Past 5 Years		
Self-Study	Above Average		
Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	_____
CPR Committee	Very Strong	CPR Committee Observations:	_____
III B.	Degrees Awarded Over the Past 5 Years		
Self-Study	Satisfactory		
Review Team	Below Average	Review Team Observations:	_____
CPR Committee	Below Average	CPR Committee Observations:	_____
III C.	Comparison With CSU & USG Programs		
Self-Study	Satisfactory		
Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	_____
CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	_____
III D.	Program Retention Rate		
Self-Study	Satisfactory		
Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	_____
CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	_____
III E.	Student Learning Indicators		
Self-Study	Satisfactory		
Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	_____
CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	_____
III F.	Graduation Rate of Program		
Self-Study	Below Average		
Review Team	Below Average	Review Team Observations:	_____
CPR Committee	Below Average	CPR Committee Observations:	_____
III G.	Cost-Effectiveness of Instructional Delivery		
Self-Study	Above Average		
Review Team	Above Average	Review Team Observations:	We note the cost per credit hour is down 10% over historical costs.
CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:	_____

Section Four - Program Viability

	Rating Category		Observations
IV A.	Summary Findings of Program's Overall Viability		
Self-Study	Above Average		

Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	We have no recommendations for improving the program viability.
CPR Committee	Very Strong	CPR Committee Observations:	_____
IV B. Summary Findings of Program's Improvement Plans			
Self-Study	Above Average		
Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	_____
CPR Committee	Very Strong	CPR Committee Observations:	_____

Final Recommendations of the Review Team

The external review team finds the following:

1. We believe the faculty should readdress the possibility of ABET accreditation
2. We support the need for additional faculty
 - a. It could be appropriate to consider a full-time non-tenured line that has an emphasis on student support and the outreach programs within the department
3. We believe the department should incentivize and support student recruitment and mentoring. This includes providing student transition guidance upon entering the program.
4. The department should explore the use of mini grants and course releases to stimulate quality research. In particular, this would benefit the graduate research course offering. The outreach program (including summer camps) is a true asset of the program and should receive continued financial support.

CPR comments

This self-study is fabulous. It could be used as a benchmark or example for other departments during the self-study process. It includes statements of current standing for each of the indicators, as well as, suggestions/solutions for improvement when needed.

This CPR committee member agrees with the recommendations of the review team except for the possibility of ABET accreditation.