Appendix III Columbus State University Comprehensive Program Review Evaluation for the **Bachelor of Science in Computer Science**

Review Team

CPR Committee

Self-Study

Self-Study

Self-Study

Review Team

CPR Committee II D. The Quality of Service Self-Study

Review Team

CPR Committee

Self-Study

Self-Study Review Team

Review Team

CPR Committee

CPR Committee

The Quality of Curriculum

II E.

II F.

Review Team

CPR Committee

II C. The Quality of Research and Scholarship

Review Team

CPR Committee

II B. The Quality of the Teaching

II A.

The Quality of Faculty

Section Two - Indicators of Program Quality Rating Category
Summary Findings of Program's Overall Quality Self-Study Very Strong

Very Strong

Very Strong

Very Strong

Very Strong

Very Strong

Above Average

Above Average

Above Average

Very Strong

Above Average

Above Average

Review Team Observations:

CPR Committee Observations:

The Quality of Faculty and Student Achievements

Review Team Observations:	We believe there exists and opportunity to better involve students with the high school mentoring and outreach initiatives. This could involve lab assistants/mentors and provide service-learning opportunities.
CPR Committee Observations:	
Review Team Observations:	
CPR Committee Observations:	
Review Team Observations:	
CPR Committee Observations:	
Review Team Observations: CPR Committee Observations:	We note that the list of publications for the faculty and students is impressive.
Review Team Observations:	
	We are also particularly impressed with the outreach programs and see this as a strategic asset of the program.
CPR Committee Observations:	
Review Team Observations:	
CPR Committee Observations:	

Observations

II G.	The Quality of Fac	clinies & Equipment		
	Self-Study	Satisfactory		
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	
Section	on Three - Indicato	ors of Program Productivity Rating Category		Observations
III.	Summary Findings	s of Program's Overall Productivity		ODSEI VALIOTIS
	Self-Study	Satisfactory		
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	We believe the program should examine the causes of the number of degrees awarded and graduation rate and address the underlying causes of these issues.
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	
III A.	Enrollment in Prog	gram for Past 5 Years		
	Self-Study	Very Strong		
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Very Strong	CPR Committee Observations:	
III B.	Degrees Awarded	Over the Past 5 Years		
	Self-Study	Below Average		
	Review Team	Below Average	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Below Average	CPR Committee Observations:	
III C.	Comparison With	CSU & USG Programs		
	Self-Study	Satisfactory		
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	
III D.	Progam Retention	Rate		
	Self-Study	Satisfactory		
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	
III E.	Student Learning	Indicators		
	Self-Study	Satisfactory		
	Review Team	Satisfactory	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	
III F.	Graduation Rate of	of Program		
	Self-Study	Below Average		
	Review Team	Below Average	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Below Average	CPR Committee Observations:	

III G.	Cost-Effectiveness	s of instructional Delivery				
	Self-Study	Above Average				
	Review Team	Above Average	Review Team Observations:	We note the cost per credit hour is down 10% over historical costs.		
	CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:			
Section Four - Program Viability						
IV A.	Summary Findings	Rating Category s of Program's Overall Viability		Observations		
	Self-Study	Very Strong				
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:			
	CPR Committee	Very Strong	CPR Committee Observations:			
IV B.	Summary Findings	s of Program's Improvement Plans				
	Self-Study	Very Strong				
	Review Team	Very Strong	Review Team Observations:			
	CPR Committee	Very Strong	CPR Committee Observations:			

Final Recommendations of the Review Team

The external review team finds the following:

- 1. We believe the faculty should readdress the possibility of ABET accreditation
- 2. We support the need for additional faculty
- a. It could be appropriate to consider a full-time non-tenured line that has an emphasis on student support and the outreach programs within the department
- 3. We believe the department should incentivize and support student recruitment and mentoring. This includes providing student transition guidance upon entering the program.
- 4. The department should explore the use of mini grants and course releases to stimulate quality research. In particular, this would benefit the graduate research course offering. The outreach program (including summer camps) is a true asset of the program and should receive continued financial support.