## Appendix III Columbus State University Comprehensive Program Review Evaluation for the Master of Science in Environmental Science

master or occurred in annual continuous	
Rating Scale: Very Strong, Above Average, Satisfactory, Below Average, Very Weak	

Section Two - Indicators of Program Quality  Pating Category				Observations
II.	Rating Category Observations  II. Summary Findings of Program's Overall Quality			
	Self-Study	Above Average		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:	
II A.	The Quality of Faculty			
	Self-Study	Above Average		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:	
II B.	The Quality of the Teach	ning		
	Self-Study	Above Average		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	
II C.	The Quality of Research	and Scholarship		
	Self-Study	Above Average		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:	
II D.	The Quality of Service			
	Self-Study	Very Strong		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:	
II E.	The Quality of Faculty a	nd Student Achievements		
	Self-Study	Above Average		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:	
II F.	The Quality of Curriculur	m		
	Self-Study	Above Average		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:	
II G.	The Quality of Facilities	& Equipment		
	Self-Study	Satisfactory		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	

Section Three - Indicators of Program Productivity

Rating Category

Observations

	Seir-Study	very Strong		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:	
III A.	Enrollment in Program for	or Past 5 Years		
	Self-Study	Satisfactory		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	
III B.	Degrees Awarded Over	the Past 5 Years		
	Self-Study	Satisfactory		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Above Average	CPR Committee Observations:	
III C.	Comparison With CSU 8	USG Programs		
	Self-Study	Above Average		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	No basis for comparison with other programs.
III D.	Progam Retention Rate			
	Self-Study	Above Average		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	Low in comparison to other CSU programs.
III E.	Student Learning Indicat	ors		
	Self-Study	Above Average		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	
III F.	Graduation Rate of Prog	ram		
	Self-Study	Satisfactory		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	Some improvement in recent years.
III G.	Cost-Effectiveness of Ins	structional Delivery		
	Self-Study	Above Average		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	
Section Four - Program Viability				
		Rating Category		Observations
IV A.	Summary Findings of Pro			
	Self-Study	Very Strong		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	

	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	Bureau of Labor Statistics are not an indication of program quality.
IV B.	Summary Findings of Pro	ogram's Improvement Plans		
	Self-Study	Very Strong		
	Review Team	See External Review Team Report	Review Team Observations:	
	CPR Committee	Satisfactory	CPR Committee Observations:	All of the proposed improvements would be costly.