

## Performance-Based Task

<b>Name of Task:</b> Pizza Party	<b>Grade Level:</b> 1 <sup>st</sup>
<b>BEGIN WITH THE END IN MIND:</b> What will we learn about the students' mathematical understanding from this task?  Students will explore equal parts and strategies that support skip counting.	
<b>Common Core Content Standards assessed through this task:</b> (choose 3-5 standards at your grade level that can be clearly assessed through this task. Standards need not be from the same domain but should relate to the task). 1.G.3 Partitioning circles are rectangles into equal shares 1.G.1 Drawing shapes 1.OA.5 Relate counting to addition and subtraction	<b>Standards for Mathematical Practice assessed through this task:</b> (choose 2-3 Standards for Mathematical Practice that can be clearly assessed through this task.)  <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them.</li><li>4. Model with mathematics.</li><li>5. Use appropriate tools strategically.</li><li>6. Attend to precision.</li></ol>

## Performance-Based Task

Use the space below to outline your task. Keep the following in mind...

If everyone tries their best, our class will have a pizza party. Ms. Rustin will buy 6 pizzas for the 18 students in our classroom. How can she cut the pizza into equal parts? Each student will get the same amount.

### Does this task...

- reflect a real-world task/scenario-based problem?
- require application of mathematical concepts and assess related Common Core content Standards?
- Require students to engage in 2-3 Standards for Mathematical Practice?
- Allow for multiple approaches?
- Require a high level of cognitive demand?

**Assessment:** How will you evaluate student work? Create a task-specific rubric. Apply the Exemplars levels– Novice, Apprentice, Practitioner, Expert – when creating your rubric.

<b>Novice</b>	No strategy is chosen or a strategy is chosen that will not lead to a solution. Little or no evidence of engagement in the task. No correct reasoning nor justification for reasoning is present. Little or no communication of an approach is evident with mathematical language. No connections are made. No attempt is made to construct mathematical representations.
<b>Apprentice</b>	A partially correct strategy is chosen. Evidence of previous knowledge. Arguments are made with some mathematical basis. Some formal math language is used, and examples are provided to communicate ideas.

## Performance-Based Task

	<p>Some effort is made to relate to own interests and experiences. An attempt is made to construct mathematical representations to record and communicate problem solving.</p>
<b>Practitioner</b>	<p>A correct strategy is chosen. Evidence of applying prior knowledge is present. Arguments are constructed with adequate mathematical knowledge. Systematic approach or correct reasoning is present. Precise math language is used with audience in mind. Mathematical connections are recognized. Appropriate mathematical presentations are used.</p>
<b>Expert</b>	<p>An efficient strategy is used. A correct answer is given. Evidence is used to justify and support decisions. Precise math language is used to communicate to an appropriate audience. Mathematical connections or observations are used to extend the solution. Abstract or symbolic mathematical representations are constructed to analyze relationships, extend thinking and clarify or interpret phenomenon.</p>

## NCTM Process Standards and the CCSS Mathematical Practices

NCTM Process Standards	CCSS Standards for Mathematical Practice
<b>Problem Solving</b>	1. Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them. 5. Use appropriate tools strategically.
<b>Reasoning and Proof</b>	2. Reason abstractly and quantitatively. 3. Critique the reasoning of others. 8. Look for and express regularity in repeated reasoning
<b>Communication</b>	3. Construct viable arguments
<b>Connections</b>	6. Attend to precision. 7. Look for and make use of structure
<b>Representations</b>	4. Model with mathematics.